UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	*	
v.	* (CRIM. NO.
	*	
	* ****	
ORDER REGARDING USE OF VI		
	LEAS AND/OR SE	
In accordance with the operative (COVID-19 standing	orders, this Court finds:
That the Defendant (or the Juver	nile) has consented to	o the use of video
teleconferencing/teleconferencing to con-	luct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation
with counsel; and		
That the proceeding(s) to be held	l today cannot be fu	rther delayed without serious harm
to the interests of justice, for the following	ng specific reasons:	
Accordingly, the proceeding(s) held on the	is date may be cond	lucted by:
Video Teleconferencing		
Teleconferencing, because vide	o teleconferencing i	s not reasonably available for the
following reason:		
The Defendant (or the J	uvenile) is detained	at a facility lacking video
teleconferencing capability.		
Other:		
Date:	-	Jul ! wry
	Į	Jnited States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson

Crim. No. 19-928 (FLW)

v.

EXHIBIT TO ORDER REGARDING USE OF VIDEO

CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING FOR FELONY PLEAS AND/OR

SENTENCINGS

SHANTAY WALKER

The Court finds that sentencing hearing to be held on December 7, 2022 cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries).
- 2. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of her case through a timely sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for a timely sentencing in this matter. The Court is expected to have a substantial backlog of cases on its docket at the conclusion of this period of emergency. At this time, the Court cannot accurately predict where the defendant's case will be prioritized within that backlogged docket. As a result, the defendant's interest in a speedy resolution of his case will be seriously harmed if the proceeding does not occur today.

3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for a timely sentencing in this matter.